PLANNING, RESOURCE AND BUDGET COMMITTEE
Minutes
October 5, 2018
1:00 PM – 2:30 PM
CP-1060-05

Attendance

| √ | Amir Dabirian | √ | Mikyong Kim-Goh | √ | HyeKyeung Seung |
| √ | Peter de Lijser | √ | Kari Knutson Miller | √ | Binod Tiwari |
|   | Bereneceea Johnson Eanes | √ | Stacy Mallicoat, Chair | Framroze Virjee |
| √ | David Forgues | √ | Craig McConnell | Megan Wagner |
| √ | Danielle Garcia representing President Framroze Virjee | √ | Dave Mickey | √ | Meghan Waymire |
| √ | Rebecca Hesgard | √ | Nelson Nagai | √ | Emeline Yong |
| √ | Sherif Khalifa | James Rodriguez | Maria Estela Zarate |
| √ | Danny C. Kim | Greg Saks |

Guests: Adamson, Badal, T. Garcia, Graylee, Johnson, Scialdone for Eanes, Tran

I. Call to Order
   • Chair Mallicoat called to order at 1:00 pm

II. Introductions

III. Announcements
   • Waymire mentioned Voter Registration Day is taking place October 16th from 10-4PM and asked to spread the word that more faculty volunteers are needed.
     - Dabirian commented that there are almost 20,000 faculty/students/staff registered to vote.
   • Seung announced that on October 1st and 2nd the Communication Sciences and Disorders department successfully completed the site visit by the Council on Academic Accreditation in audiology and speech-language pathology.
   • Mickey announced the opening of Bee-luther-hathchee playing in the Hallberg Theatre for the next three weeks.

IV. Approval of Minutes
   4.1 Minutes September 21, 2018 (draft)
   • M/S/P Tiwari, Mickey

V. New Business
   5.1 McCarthy Hall Renovation – Marie Johnson, Dean of Natural Sciences and Mathematics presented with the following topics covered in the PowerPoint presentation:
   • What to do about your old science building?
     - It’s dated, worn, tired looking.
     - It’s an energy hog with outdated infrastructure.
It has dark, narrow, double loaded corridors with closed doors opening to small labs.
- It lacks glass and allows no natural light into the interior.
- The building screams “We are mediocre!”

- But our renovated science building could be designed to fix all that.
  - Put math and science on display so students see people like themselves in lab.
  - Students learn, study, do, connect all in the same space.
  - Informal learning occurs as frequently as formal learning.
  - People and ideas colliding – a sense of energy.
  - Walk in and KNOW it’s a math and science building.
  - The building screams “Smart people are doing important things here!”

- Budget - $40M, Phase 1
- Objective
  - Painful to renovate so........life must be better for students and faculty.
- Second Floor Focus
  - Home to two NSM departments
  - Connects to DBH and College of the Arts
  - Underutilized outdoor terrace/patio
- Transformative
  - From double loaded corridor to student centered learning
  - Huddle Rooms
  - Community and multipurpose spaces
  - Ceiling light fixture is a caffeine molecule
  - Geology space
- Timeline
- Breakdown of Budget
- Future Budget – Phase 2?
- What you should know
  - Student centric renovation
  - Enough funding for safety upgrades + one floor
  - Do well and attract future $$s
  - Every activity on MH – 2 has to go somewhere else

After the presentation the following questions were asked and answered:
- Q: Waymire – Will an all gender bathroom be included in the renovation?
  A: Absolutely, it will be on the second floor.
- Q: Hesgard – Were the input of faculty and students included in the decision making process?
  A: Yes, we had the same firm that did the survey for all CSUF come back and do a survey just for McCarthy Hall, so we got a lot of input that way.
- Q: Mallicoat – Will the departments that will be moved for the 2nd floor renovation be kept together in the temporary location?
  A: Hopefully.
- VP Kim commented on surge space stating that the project has to pay for surge space and a temporary lab will have to be built. Trying to optimize that is very critical. We have $1 million for it, which is like half of a lab.
- Q: Mallicoat – How many labs are on the second floor?
  A: There’s several faculty labs and an anatomy lab.
5.2 Tenure Track Density Data – Su Swarat, AVP of Institutional Effectiveness, presented with the following topics covered in the PowerPoint presentation:

- Faculty Headcount
  - T/TT & Lecturers: Fall 2012: n = 1890, Fall 2017: n = 2124
  - T/TT only: Fall 2012: n = 745, Fall 2017: n = 816

- Faculty % by Gender
  - T/TT & Lecturers: Fall 2012: Female n = 947 (50.1%), Fall 2017: Female n = 1077 (50.7%)
  - T/TT only: Fall 2012: Female n = 324 (43.5%), Fall 2017: Female n = 385 (47.2%)

- Faculty % by UR
  - T/TT & Lecturers: Fall 2012: UR n = 230 (12.2%), Fall 2017: UR n = 307 (14.5%)
  - T/TT only: Fall 2012: UR n = 87 (11.7%), Fall 2017: UR n = 109 (13.4%)

- Faculty % by Ethnicity

- Tenure Density by College

- T/TT Faculty Search Success Rate

After the presentation the following comments were made:

- Mallicoat stated that this was a good place to start with conversations about tenure/tenure-track density. The hope is to use this data along with the report from the CSU on tenure-track density to put together a smaller working group. The next PRBC meeting on October 19th will be cancelled and a working group meeting will take place instead in order to draft some recommendations.

5.3 Election of Vice Chair

- Chair Mallicoat decided to table this decision until the full committee was present.

VI. Adjournment

- M/S/P Dabirian, Mickey at 1:33 pm

**FUTURE ITEMS**

- 10/19 Tenure Track Density Working Group

Respectfully submitted: Mara Cabrera