I. Call to Order
   • Vice Chair Shafae called the meeting to order at 1:03 pm.

II. Announcements
   • Mickey announced amplifiers were recently stolen from department. Working with University to provide information on stolen items. Dabirian informed members and guests that software is available for installation on laptops.

III. Approval of Minutes
     Minutes October 9, 2015 (Draft)
     o M/S/P Mead, De Land

IV. New Business
    4.1 Space Update (College Park-West), - Time Certain, 1:10, Kim, Apel (Facilities Management) and Jim Alexander (ASC)
    • VP Kim provided a brief update on College Park West: Building purchased by ASC, building needs to be renovated and repaired (seismic retrofit) before tenants can move in. Guests Apel from Facilities Planning and Alexander from ASC addressed questions from members and guests following the power point presentation on the “Proposed College Park West Occupants” which covered the following
College Park West main building is four stories (56,000 SF)
College Park West annex building is two stories plus basement (30,000 SF)
University representatives provided input and recommendations on suggestions of occupants for vacant spaces
Review of six guiding principles (priorities, impacts, opportunities, appropriate, reasonable)
Proposed Occupants
- Main building – Extended Education, all floors
- Annex building – floors 1 and 2, basement
  - Auxiliary Services Corp (ASC) administrative offices & affiliated Academic Affairs units
  - Center for Demographic Research (CDR)
- Basement – storage and utilities, board room/multi-purpose, unassigned

VP Kim informed members of a comprehensive review currently underway to identify critical areas on campus which could be impacted due to El Nino (Library basement, McCarthy Hall data center)
M/S/P Mallicoat, Praitis - Request presentation from Facilities on the outcome of the campus review (add agenda item in early December)

4.2 Presentation on Performance-Based Outcomes, Provost Cruz
- Provost Cruz updated members and guests on the current status of performance-based outcomes from a national, state, and local level. Examples of states handling performance-based funding differently include Vermont, Ohio, Indiana, Tennessee, Texas, and Maryland.
- CSUF Memo submitted to Chancellor’s Office for November 2015 BOT meeting. Discussion to be delayed to future BOT meeting (possibly January 2016)
- M/S/P Brushke, Tanjasiri – Clarify charge before drafting document on Performance Based Funding from CSUF perspective (similar to the CSUF Memo in response to the CSU Sustainable Financial Model Task Force. Subcommittee volunteers: Provost Cruz, VP Dabirian, Brushke, Tanjasiri, Mallicoat, Praitis. Charge will be shared with members at a future PRBC meeting.

V. Adjournment
- M/S/P Dabirian, Meyer at 2:14 pm

Future items:
- Budget update, VP Kim, Nov 20
- Progress on student success initiative (SSI) – VP Eanes, March 4, 2016
- Irvine, Dep Provost McMahan and Morteza, spring 2015
- Staffing ratio issues – spring 2016
- Philanthropic plan presentation – spring 2016
- High impact practice pilot in spring presentation – spring 2016
- Research enterprise – spring 2016
Respectfully submitted:  May Wong